

Global Humanitarian Contributions in 2011: Totals by donor

Report as of 23-May-2019

<http://fts.unocha.org> (Table ref: R18)

Compiled by OCHA on the basis of information provided by donors and recipient organizations.

| Donor | Funding USD | % of Grand Total | Outstanding pledges USD |
|--|-----------------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| United States | 3,098,695,512 | 22.9% | 0 |
| European Commission | 1,573,800,613 | 11.6% | 0 |
| Private (individuals & organisations) | 1,167,599,523 | 8.6% | 736,963,098 |
| Sweden | 874,499,432 | 6.5% | 9,018,805 |
| Carry-over (donors not specified) | 730,645,687 | 5.4% | 0 |
| United Kingdom | 676,442,415 | 5.0% | 1,409,740 |
| Japan | 609,597,715 | 4.5% | 0 |
| Norway | 554,896,656 | 4.1% | 0 |
| Canada | 453,317,769 | 3.4% | 0 |
| Germany | 433,320,139 | 3.2% | 84,494,604 |
| Switzerland | 336,176,588 | 2.5% | 23,910,738 |
| Australia | 312,711,050 | 2.3% | 0 |
| Denmark | 282,330,292 | 2.1% | 0 |
| Spain | 272,468,353 | 2.0% | 0 |
| Netherlands | 222,425,752 | 1.6% | 0 |
| United Arab Emirates | 193,336,361 | 1.4% | 0 |
| Belgium | 185,538,145 | 1.4% | 0 |
| Various (details not yet provided) | 167,984,844 | 1.2% | 0 |
| Allocation of unearmarked funds by UN agencies | 166,814,826 | 1.2% | 0 |
| Saudi Arabia | 163,485,848 | 1.2% | 0 |
| France | 156,511,467 | 1.2% | 0 |
| Finland | 138,204,620 | 1.0% | 6,548,430 |
| Ireland | 91,298,888 | 0.7% | 5,919,378 |
| China | 86,917,224 | 0.6% | 500,000 |
| Italy | 75,888,968 | 0.6% | 0 |
| Others | 503,617,366 | 3.7% | 409,565,193 |
| | | | |
| Grand Total USD: | 13,528,526,053 | 100% | 1,278,329,986 |

CAP: The consolidated appeals process (CAP) is the precursor to the current humanitarian programming cycle (HPC).

Carry-over: Previous year's carry-over stocks (i.e. stocks physically in-country at 31 December) and carry-over contributions (i.e. funds committed by the donor at 31 December), not spent or used in the previous year, and now to be applied to projects in the current year.

Commitment: Creation of a contractual obligation regarding funding between the donor and appealing agency. Almost always takes the form of a signed contract. This is the crucial stage of humanitarian funding: agencies cannot spend money and implement before a funding commitment is made; once it is made, they can begin spending against it, using cash reserves.

Consolidated appeals: A precursor to the current humanitarian response plans (HRPs).

Contribution: The payment or transfer of funds or in-kind goods from the donor towards the appealing agency, resulting from a commitment.

Flash appeal: An inter-agency humanitarian response strategy to a major disaster that requires a coordinated response beyond the capacity of the government or any single agency. The appeal addresses acute needs for a common planning horizon, normally up to six months.

Funding: Contributions, commitments and carry-over.

Humanitarian response plans (HRPs): Inter-agency response plans for humanitarian crises requiring the support of more than one agency and prepared by humanitarian country teams (HCTs) based on a humanitarian needs overview (HNO).

Outstanding pledges: Pledges are a non-binding announcement of an intended contribution or allocation by the donor. The recipient organization and response plan or project are not necessarily specified. As soon as a commitment is reported to FTS against a pledge, the amount in the pledge column is reduced accordingly. FTS tables therefore show the 'outstanding' (not 'total') pledge amount. Pledges might or might not include verbal pledges of financial support made at pledging conferences.

Overlap: On occasion there can be a slight overlap between the needs and requirements stated in a country's HRP and its chapter in an RRP. Where this is the case, we create a separate heading in our tables and adjust the global total accordingly to avoid double-counting.

Regional response plans (RRPs): An inter-agency response plan, coordinated by UNHCR.